

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Symmetries and their Lie algebra properties for the higher-order Burgers equations

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1989 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 3743 (http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/22/18/014)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 06:59

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

# Symmetries and their Lie algebra properties for the higher-order Burgers equations

Tao Sun<sup>†</sup> and Wei Wang

Physics Department, Liaoning Normal University, Dalian, People's Republic of China

Received 28 July 1988, in final form 16 May 1989

Abstract. A new strong symmetry, two groups of symmetries and their Lie algebra properties for the higher-order Burgers equations are presented.

### 1. Introduction

In the preceding paper (Tao Sun 1989) we discussed the symmetries and Lie algebra properties of the Burgers equation. A new class of symmetries has been found. It is known that the Burgers equation has two strong symmetries  $\Phi$  and  $\Psi$  (Tian Chou 1987)

$$\Phi = \mathbf{D} + \mathbf{u} + \mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{x}} \, \mathbf{D}^{-1} \tag{1.1}$$

$$\Psi = 2t\Phi + x + D^{-1} \tag{1.2}$$

and three groups of symmetries

$$K_n = \Phi^n K_0 \qquad \qquad K_0 = u_x \tag{1.3}$$

$$\tau_n = \Phi^n \tau_0 \qquad \qquad \tau_0 = 2tu_x + 1 \tag{1.4}$$

$$u_n(\varepsilon) = \Psi^n \mu_0(\varepsilon) \qquad \mu_0(\varepsilon) = (u - \varepsilon) \exp(-D^{-1}u + \varepsilon x + \varepsilon^2 t) \qquad (1.5)$$

where n = 0, 1, 2, ...

In this work we discuss the symmetries of the higher-order Burgers equation

$$u_l = K_l = \Phi^l u_x$$
  $l = 1, 2, ...$  (1.6)

where  $\Phi$  is given in (1.1). To our knowledge, very little is known about the symmetries of this set of equations, except that  $\Phi$  has been proved to be a strong symmetry of them (Tian Chou 1987). In the following we show that there exists a strong symmetry  $\Psi_l$  and two groups of symmetries  $\kappa_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon)$  and  $\mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon)$ . Their Lie algebra properties have also been identified.

#### 2. Strong symmetry

Theorem 2.1. The operator

$$\Psi_l = (l+1)t\Phi^l + x + D^{-1} \qquad l = 1, 2, \dots$$
(2.1)

is a strong symmetry for the l-order Burgers equation (1.6).

<sup>+</sup> Present address: Department of Physics, McGill University, 3600 University Street, Montreal, Quebec, Canada H3A 2T8.

0305-4470/89/183743+09\$02.50 © 1989 IOP Publishing Ltd

*Proof.* From (2.1) we have

$$d\Psi_{l}/dt = (l+1)\Phi^{l} + (l+1)t \ d\Phi^{l}/dt$$
$$[K_{l}', \Psi_{l}] = (l+1)t[K_{l}', \Phi^{l}] + [K_{l}', x + D^{-1}].$$

Since  $\Phi$  is a strong symmetry,  $\Phi^{l}$  is also a strong symmetry (Tian Chou 1987), i.e.

$$\mathrm{d}\Phi'/\mathrm{d}t = [K'_{l}, \Phi'].$$

Next, notice that (Tian Chou 1987):

$$[K'_l, x + D^{-1}] = (l+1)\Phi^l$$
  $l = 0, 1, 2, ...$ 

Therefore

$$\mathrm{d}\Psi_l/\mathrm{d}t = [K_l', \Psi_l]$$

which means that  $\Psi_l$  is a strong symmetry of the *l*-order Burgers equation (1.6).

Theorem 2.2.

$$[\Phi^n, \Psi_l] = n\Phi^{n-1} \qquad n = 1, 2, \dots; \quad l = 1, 2, \dots$$
 (2.2)

The proof is by induction of n. For n = 1, we have

$$[\Phi, \Psi] = \mathbf{I}. \tag{2.2'}$$

Lemma 2.1.

$$\Phi'[(x+D^{-1})a] = (x+D^{-1})\Phi'[a] + D^{-1}a + a D^{-1}$$
(2.3)

is valid for any function a.

Proof.

$$\Phi'[(x + D^{-1})a] = xa + (D^{-1}a) + 2a D^{-1} + xa_x D^{-1}$$
$$= (x + D^{-1})\Phi'[a] + D^{-1}a + aD^{-1}.$$

Lemma 2.2.

$$\{[(D^{-1}a) + aD^{-1}]\Phi^{n} + (\Phi^{n})'[a]\}b - \{[(D^{-1}b) + bD^{-1}]\Phi^{n} + (\Phi^{n})'[b]\}a = 0$$

$$n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(2.4)

is valid for any functions a and b.

Lemma 2.3.

$$(X + D^{-1})\{(\Phi^{n})'[a]b - (\Phi^{n})'[b]a\} = (\Phi^{n})'[(x + D^{-1})a]b - (\Phi^{n})'[(x + D^{-1})b]a$$
  

$$n = 1, 2, \dots$$
(2.5)

is valid for any functions a and b.

The proofs of lemmas (2.2) and (2.3) are by induction on n.

Theorem 2.3.  $\Psi_l$  is a hereditary symmetry, i.e.

$$\Psi'_{l}[\Psi_{l}a]b - \Psi'_{l}[\Psi_{l}b]a = \Psi_{l}\{\Psi'_{l}[a]b - \Psi'_{l}[b]a\} \qquad l = 1, 2, \dots$$
(2.6)

is valid for any functions a and b.

Proof. Given (2.1), what we need to do is just prove

$$(\Phi^{l})'[\Psi_{l}a]b - (\Phi^{l})'[\Psi_{l}b]a = \Psi_{l}\{(\Phi^{l})'[a]b - (\Phi^{l})'[b]a\}.$$
(2.6a)

Since  $\Phi$  is a hereditary symmetry, according to Tao Sun (1989, lemma 2.4) and (2.5), we obtain

$$\begin{split} \Psi_{l}\{(\Phi^{l})'[a]b - (\Phi^{l})'[b]a\} \\ &= (l+1)t\Phi^{l}\{(\Phi^{l})'[a]b - (\Phi^{l})'[b]a\} + (x+D^{-1})\{(\Phi^{l})'[a]b - (\Phi^{l})'[b]a\} \\ &= (\Phi^{l})'[(l+1)t\Phi^{l}a]b - (\Phi^{l})'[(l+1)t\Phi^{l}b]a \\ &+ (\Phi^{l})'[(x+D^{-1})a]b - (\Phi^{l})'[(x+D^{-1})b]a \\ &= (\Phi^{l})'[\Psi_{l}a]b - (\Phi^{l})'[\Psi_{l}b]a. \end{split}$$

## 3. Symmetries

Lemma 3.1.

$$K'_{n}[1] = (n+1)K_{n-1}$$
  $n = 1, 2, ...$  (3.1)

The proof is by induction on n.

Lemma 3.2.

$$dK_n/dt = K'_m[K_n] \qquad m = 1, 2, \dots; \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(3.2)

**Proof.** Equation (3.2) implies that K is a symmetry of the equation  $u_t = K_m$ . Since the strong symmetry  $\Phi$  maps a symmetry to a symmetry, it is sufficient to prove (3.2) for n = 0, i.e.

$$dK_0/dt = K'_m[K_0] \qquad m = 1, 2, \dots$$
(3.2*a*)

Equation (3.2*a*) is obviously true for m = 1. Assume that it is established when m = k - 1 and let us prove it for m = k. In fact

$$K'_{k}[K_{0}] = \Phi'[K_{0}]K_{k-1} + \Phi K'_{k-1}[K_{0}]$$
  
=  $K_{0}K_{k-1} + (DK_{0})(D^{-1}K_{k-1}) + \Phi DK_{k-1}$   
=  $(D^{2} + 2u_{x} + uD + u_{xx}D^{-1})K_{k-1} = D K_{k} = dK_{0}/dt.$ 

Let

$$\kappa_0^{(l)}(\alpha,\beta) = [\alpha(l+1)t+\beta]\Phi^{l-1}u_x + \alpha$$
$$= [\alpha(l+1)t+\beta]K_{l-1} + \alpha$$
(3.3)

$$u_0^{(l)}(\varepsilon) = (u - \varepsilon) \exp(-D^{-1}u + \varepsilon x + \varepsilon^{l+1}t)$$
(3.4)

$$\kappa_n^{(l)}(\alpha,\beta) = \Phi^n \kappa_0^{(l)}(\alpha,\beta)$$
$$= \lceil \alpha(l+1)t + \beta \rceil K_{l}, \dots + \alpha \Phi^n \rceil$$
(3.5)

$$u_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon) = \Psi_l^n \mu_0^{(l)}(\varepsilon)$$
(3.3)

$$l = 1, 2, \dots$$
  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$  (3.6)

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\varepsilon$  are arbitrary constants independent of time and space.

Theorem 3.1.  $\kappa_n^{(l)}(\alpha,\beta)$  and  $\mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon)$  are symmetries for the *l*-order Burgers equation (1.6), i.e.

$$d\kappa_n^{(l)}(\alpha,\beta)/dt = K'_l[\kappa_n^{(l)}(\alpha,\beta)]$$
(3.7)

$$d\mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon)/dt = K'_l[\mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon)].$$
(3.8)

Proof. In a similar way to the proof of lemma 3.2, what we need to do is just prove

$$d\kappa_0^{(l)}(\alpha,\beta)/dt = K'_l[\kappa_0^{(l)}(\alpha,\beta)]$$
(3.7)

$$d\mu_0^{(l)}(\varepsilon)/dt = K'_l[\mu_0^{(l)}(\varepsilon)].$$
(3.8)

From (3.3) we have

$$d\kappa_{0}^{(l)}(\alpha,\beta)/dt = \alpha(l+1)K_{l-1} + [\alpha(l+1)t+\beta] dK_{l-1}/dt$$
  
$$K'_{l}[\kappa_{0}^{(l)}(\alpha,\beta)] = [\alpha(l+1)t+\beta]K'_{l}[K_{l-1}] + \alpha K'_{l}[1].$$

According to (3.1) and (3.2), (3.7') is established.

For the case of  $\mu_0^{(l)}(\varepsilon)$ , (3.8') is obviously valid when l = 1 (Tao Sun 1989). Assume it is valid for l = k - 1, we prove that it is established for l = k. In fact

$$\begin{aligned} K'_{k}[\mu_{0}^{(k)}(\varepsilon)] &= (\Phi K_{k-1})'[\mu_{0}^{(k)}(\varepsilon)] \\ &= \Phi'[\mu_{0}^{(k)}(\varepsilon)]K_{k-1} + \Phi K'_{k-1}[\mu_{0}^{(k)}(\varepsilon)] \\ &= \mu_{0}^{(k)}(\varepsilon)K_{k-1} + [D\mu_{0}^{(k)}(\varepsilon)](D^{-1} K_{k-1}) + \exp[\varepsilon^{k}(\varepsilon - 1)t]\Phi K'_{k-1}[\mu_{0}^{(k-1)}(\varepsilon)] \\ &= \{K_{k} + (u - \varepsilon)[-(D^{-1} K_{k}) + \varepsilon^{k+1}]\}\exp(-D^{-1} u + \varepsilon x + \varepsilon^{k+1}t) \\ &= d \ \mu_{0}^{(k)}(\varepsilon)/dt \end{aligned}$$

which implies (3.8).

## 4. Preliminary theorems

Lemma 4.1.1.

$$\Phi\mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon\mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon) + n\mu_{n-1}^{(l)}(\varepsilon) \qquad l = 1, 2, \dots; \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(4.1)

The proof is by induction on n.

Theorem 4.1.

$$\Phi^{m}\mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon) = \sum_{r=0}^{m} C_{m}^{r}[n!/(n-r)!]\varepsilon^{m-r}\mu_{n-r}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)$$

$$l = 1, 2, \dots \qquad m = 1, 2, \dots \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(4.2)

*Proof.* From (4.1), (4.2) is valid for m = 1. Assume that it is true when m = k - 1, we prove it for m = k. In fact

$$\Phi^{k}\mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon) = \Phi\Phi^{k-1}\mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{k-1} C_{k-1}^{r} [n!/(n-r)!] \varepsilon^{k-r-1} [\varepsilon\mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon) + (n-r)\mu_{n-r-1}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)]$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^{k} C_{k}^{r} [n!/(n-r)!] \varepsilon^{k-r}\mu_{n-r}^{(l)}(\varepsilon).$$

Lemma 4.2.1.

$$\mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon)' + \mathbf{D}^{-1} \,\mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon) + \mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon) \,\mathbf{D}^{-1} = 0$$

$$l = 1, 2, \dots \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(4.3)

The proof is by induction on n.

Theorem 4.2.

$$\Phi'[\mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] = [\mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon)', \Phi] \qquad l = 1, 2, \dots; \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(4.4)

*Proof.* For n = 0,  $\mu_0^{(l)}(\varepsilon) = \exp[(\varepsilon^{l+1} - \varepsilon^2)t]\mu_0(\varepsilon)$ , so (4.4) is true by lemma 4.3 of Tao Sun (1989). Assuming it is established for n = k - 1, we prove it for n = k. In fact, by (2.3), (4.2) and (4.3)

$$\begin{split} [\mu_{k}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)', \Phi] &= [(\Psi_{l}\mu_{k-1}^{(l)}(\varepsilon))', \Phi] \\ &= (l+1)t \sum_{r=0}^{l} C_{l}'[(k-1)!/(k-r-1)!]\varepsilon^{l-r}[\mu_{k-r-1}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)', \Phi] \\ &+ [(x+D^{-1})\mu_{k-1}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)', \Phi] \\ &= \Phi'[(l+1)t\Phi^{l}\mu_{k-1}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] + (x+D^{-1})\Phi'[\mu_{k-1}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] - \mu_{k-1}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)' \\ &= \Phi'[\mu_{k}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] - [\mu_{k-1}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)' + D^{-1}\mu_{k-1}^{(l)}(\varepsilon) + \mu_{k-1}^{(l)}(\varepsilon) D^{-1}] \\ &= \Phi'[\mu_{k}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)]. \end{split}$$

Lemma 4.3.1.

$$(\Phi^{n})'[\mu_{0}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] = [\mu_{0}^{(l)}(\varepsilon), \Phi^{n}] \qquad l = 1, 2, \dots; \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$
(4.5)

The proof is by induction on n.

Lemma 4.3.2.

$$[\mu_0^{(l)}(\varepsilon)', x + D^{-1}] = 0 \qquad l = 1, 2, \dots$$
(4.6)

*Proof.* It is easy to check that (4.6) is established.

Lemma 4.3.3.

$$\Psi'_{l}[\mu_{0}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] = [\mu_{0}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)', \Psi_{l}].$$

$$(4.7)$$

Proof. Since

$$\Psi_{l}^{(l)}[\mu_{0}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] = (l+1)t(\Phi^{l})^{\prime}[\mu_{0}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)]$$
$$[\mu_{0}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)^{\prime}, \Psi_{l}] = (l+1)t[\mu_{0}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)^{\prime}, \Phi^{l}] + [\mu_{0}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)^{\prime}, x + D^{-1}]$$

according to (4.5) and (4.6), (4.7) is valid.

Theorem 4.3.

$$\Psi_{i}^{\prime}[\mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] = [\mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)^{\prime}, \Psi_{i}] \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(4.8)

*Proof.* Since  $\Psi_l$  is a hereditary symmetry, from (3.6) and (4.7), (4.8) is established.

Theorem 4.4.

$$[K_m, \Phi^n 1] = (m+1)K_{m+n-1}$$
(4.9)  

$$m = 1, 2, \dots \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

*Proof.* From (3.1), (4.9) is valid for n = 0. Assume it is valid for n = k - 1; we prove it for n = k. In fact

$$[K_m, \Phi^k 1] = K'_m [\Phi^k 1] - (\Phi^k 1)' [K_m]$$
  
=  $K'_m [\Phi^k 1] - \Phi' [K_m] \Phi^{k-1} 1 - \Phi (\Phi^{k-1} 1)' [K_m].$ 

Since  $\Phi'[K_m] = [K'_m, \Phi]$  (Tian Chou 1987), we obtain

$$[K_m, \Phi^k 1] = \Phi[K_m, \Phi^{k-1} 1] = (m+1)K_{m+k-1}.$$

Lemma 4.5.1.

$$[\Phi^m 1, 1] = m\Phi^{m-1} 1 \qquad m = 1, 2, \dots$$
(4.10)

The proof is by induction on m.

Theorem 4.5.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi^m 1, \Phi^n 1 \end{bmatrix} = (m-n)\Phi^{m+n-1} 1$$
  

$$m = 1, 2, \dots, \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$
(4.11)

*Proof.* From (4.10), (4.11) is valid for n = 0. Assume it is true for n = k - 1; we prove it for n = k. In fact

$$\begin{split} [\Phi^m \mathbf{1}, \Phi^k \mathbf{1}] &= (\Phi^m \mathbf{1})' [\Phi^k \mathbf{1}] - [\Phi^k \mathbf{1}]' [\Phi^m \mathbf{1}] \\ &= (\Phi^m)' [\Phi^k \mathbf{1}] \mathbf{1} - \Phi' [\Phi^m \mathbf{1}] \Phi^{k-1} \mathbf{1} - \Phi (\Phi^{k-1})' [\Phi^m \mathbf{1}] \mathbf{1}. \end{split}$$

Since  $\Phi$  is a hereditary symmetry, we have (Tao Sun 1989)

$$(\Phi^m)'[\Phi^k 1]1 - \Phi'[\Phi^m 1]\Phi^{k-1}1 = \Phi(\Phi^m)'[\Phi^{k-1}1]1 - \Phi^{m+k-1}1$$

then

$$\begin{split} [\Phi^m \mathbf{1}, \Phi^k \mathbf{1}] &= \Phi\{(\Phi^m)' [\Phi^{k-1}\mathbf{1}]\mathbf{1} - (\Phi^{k-1})' [\Phi^m \mathbf{1}]\mathbf{1}\} - \Phi^{m+k-1}\mathbf{1} \\ &= (m-k)\Phi^{m+k-1}\mathbf{1}. \end{split}$$

which implies (4.11).

Lemma 4.6.1.

$$\Psi'_{l}[1] = l(l+1)t\Phi^{l-1} \qquad l = 1, 2, \dots$$
(4.12)

Proof. Since

$$\Psi'_{l}[1] = (l+1)t(\Phi')'[1]$$

what we need to do is just prove

$$(\Phi^{l})'[1] = l\Phi^{l-1}.$$
(4.12)

The proof of (4.12') is by induction on *l*.

Lemma 4.6.2.

$$[1, \mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] = (x + D^{-1})\mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon) \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(4.13)

The proof is by induction on n.

Theorem 4.6.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \Phi^m 1, \mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon) \end{bmatrix} = \Phi^m (x + D^{-1}) \mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon)$$
  
m = 0, 1, 2, ... n = 0, 1, 2, ... (4.14)

*Proof.* By (4.13), (4.14) is valid for m = 0. Inducting on m, we have

$$\begin{split} [\Phi^{k}1, \mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] &= (\Phi^{k}1)'[\mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] - \mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)'[\Phi^{k}1] \\ &= \Phi'[\mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)]\Phi^{k-1}1 + \Phi(\Phi^{k-1}1)'[\mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] - \mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)'[\Phi^{k}1] \\ &= \Phi[\Phi^{k-1}1, \mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] = \Phi^{k}(x + D^{-1})\mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon). \end{split}$$

Lemma 4.7.1.

$$[K_0, \mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] = \Phi \mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon)$$
  

$$l = 1, 2, \dots, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots.$$
(4.15)

The proof is by induction on n.

Theorem 4.7.

$$[K_m, \mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] = \Phi^{m+1} \mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon)$$

$$l = 1, 2, \dots \qquad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(4.16)

*Proof.* From (4.15), (4.16) is valid for m = 0. Assume it is true for m = k - 1, we prove it for m = k. In fact, by (4.4),

$$[K_{k}, \mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] = K_{k}^{\prime}[\mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] - \mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)^{\prime}[K_{k}]$$
  
=  $\Phi^{\prime}[\mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)]K_{k-1} + \Phi K_{k-1}^{\prime}[\mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] - \mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)^{\prime}[K_{k}]$   
=  $\Phi[K_{k-1}, \mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] = \Phi^{k+1}\mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon).$ 

### 5. Lie algebra

Theorem 5.1.

$$[\kappa_m^{(l)}(\alpha,\beta),\kappa_n^{(l)}(\alpha',\beta')] = \kappa_{m+n-1}^{(l)}(A,B)$$

where

$$A = \alpha \alpha'(m-n)$$

$$B = (\alpha'\beta - \alpha\beta')l + \alpha'\beta m - \alpha\beta'n$$

$$l = 1, 2, \dots \qquad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(5.1)

and  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\alpha'$  and  $\beta'$  are constants.

Proof. From (4.9), (4.11) and the relation  $[K_m, K_n] = 0$  (Tian Chou 1987), we have  $[\kappa_m^{(l)}(\alpha, \beta), \kappa_n^{(l)}(\alpha', \beta')]$   $= [\alpha(l+1)t+\beta][\alpha'(l+1)t+\beta'][K_{l+m-1}, K_{l+n-1}]$   $+ \alpha'[\alpha(l+1)t+\beta][K_{l+m-1}, \Phi^n 1]$  $+ \alpha[\alpha'(l+1)t+\beta'][\Phi^m l, K_{l+n-1}] + \alpha\alpha'[\Phi^m 1, \Phi^n 1]$ 

$$= [A(l+1)t+B]K_{l+m+n-2} + A\Phi^{m+n-1}1 = \kappa_{m+n-1}^{(l)}(A, B)$$

where constants A and B are given in (5.1).

Theorem 5.2.

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mu_m^{(l)}(\varepsilon), \, \mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon') \end{bmatrix} = 0 l = 1, 2, \dots \qquad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 (5.2)

Proof. It is not difficult to check that

$$[\boldsymbol{\mu}_0^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}), \boldsymbol{\mu}_0^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}')] = 0 \qquad [\boldsymbol{\mu}_0^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}), \boldsymbol{\mu}_1^{(l)}(\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}')] = 0.$$

Furthermore, (5.2) is evidently established because of (4.8).

Theorem 5.3.  

$$[\kappa_{m}^{(l)}(\alpha,\beta),\mu_{n}^{(l)}(\varepsilon)] = \alpha \sum_{r=0}^{m} C_{m}^{r}[(n+1)!/(n-r+1)!]\varepsilon^{m-r}\mu_{n-r+1}^{(l)}(\varepsilon) + \beta \sum_{r=0}^{l+m} C_{l+m}^{r}[n!/(n-r)!]\varepsilon^{l+m-r}\mu_{n-r}^{(l)}(\varepsilon) = l = 1, 2, \dots \qquad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
(5.3)

Proof. From (4.14) and (4.16), we can obtain  

$$\begin{bmatrix} \kappa_m^{(l)}(\alpha, \beta), \mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha(l+1)t + \beta \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} K_{l+m-1}, \mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon) \end{bmatrix} + \alpha \begin{bmatrix} \Phi^m 1, \mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon) \end{bmatrix} = \alpha \Phi^m \mu_{n+1}^{(l)}(\varepsilon) + \beta \Phi^{l+m} \mu_n^{(l)}(\varepsilon).$$

According to (4.2), (5.3) is established.

## References

Tao Sun 1989 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 22 3737-42 Tian Chou 1987 Sci. Sin. A 10 1009